THE THEORY OF MENTAL SPACES

Mahmadaminov Mirqosim

English teacher at the department of "Social studies"

of Karshi institute of irrigation and agrotechnology

Abstract: The article is devoted to theory of mental spaces. This article summarizes and reproduces parts of Fauconnier (1985-1997), Fauconnier and Turner (2002) and a range of articles by several researchers, presented on the web at mentalspace.net. The notion of mental spaces is explained and adjusted as thought and talk unfurls and are associated to each other by different sorts of mappings, the characteristics of this notion is explored in specific personality and relationship mappings. The hypothesized mental space definition is given.

Key words: mental spaces, fractional congregations of mental space, categories of mental space, mental space base, representative, center mental spaces.

Introduction: Mental spaces are exceptionally fractional congregations built as we think and conversation, for purposes of neighborhood understanding and activity. They contain components and are organized by outlines and cognitive models. Mental spaces are associated to long-term schematic information, such as the outline for strolling along a way, and to long-term particular information, such as a memory of the time you climbed Mount Rainier in 2001. The mental space that incorporates you, Mount Rainier, the year 2001, and climbing the mountain can be enacted in numerous diverse ways and for numerous diverse purposes. You climbed Mount Rainier in 2001 sets up the mental space in arrange to report a past occasion. "In case you had climbed Mount Rainier in 2001" sets up the same mental space in arrange to look at a counterfactual circumstance and its results. "Max accepts that you just climbed Mount Rainier in 2001" sets it up once more, but presently for the reason of stating what Max accepts. "Here could be a picture of you climbing Mount Rainier in 2001" brings out the same mental space in arrange to conversation approximately the substance of the picture. "This novel has you

climbing Mount Rainier in 2001" reports the author's incorporation of a maybe anecdotal scene in a novel.

It may be a common property of mental space setups that personality associations interface components over spaces without inferring that they have the same highlights or properties. When somebody says, "When I was six, I weighed fifty pounds," he prompts us to construct a character connector between him presently and "him" when he was five, in spite of the show and unavoidable contrasts.

When the components and relations of a mental space are organized as a bundle, we as of now know, we say that the mental space is surrounded and we call that organization an outline. So, for case, a mental space in which Julie buys coffee at Peet's coffee shop has person components are surrounded by "commercial exchange," additionally by the sub-frame highly imperative for Julie of "buying coffee at Peet's." Spaces are built up from numerous sources. One of these is the set of conceptual spaces we as of now know around (e.g., eating and drinking, buying and offering, social discussion in open places). A single mental space can be built up out of information from numerous isolated spaces. The space of Julie at Peet's, for case, draws on all of the conceptual spaces fair specified. It can be organized by extra frames aside from commercial exchange, such as taking a break from work, progressing to a public put for amusement, or adherence to a day-by-day schedule. Another source for building mental spaces is prompt encounter: you see the individual Julie acquiring coffee at Peet's and so construct a mental space of Julie at Peet's. However, another source for building mental spaces is what individuals say to us. "Julie went to Peet's for coffee for the primary time this morning" welcomes us to construct a modern mental space, no question one that will be expounded as the discussion goes on. Within the unfurling of a full talk, a wealthy cluster of mental spaces is regularly set up with shared associations and shifts of perspective of center from one space to another.

Mental spaces are built up powerfully in working memory, but a mental space can get to be dug in in long-term memory. For case, outlines are settled in mental spaces

that we will actuate all at once. Other sorts of dug in mental spaces are Jesus on the Cross, Horatio at the bridge, the rings of Saturn. Such as dug in mental space ordinarily has other mental spaces joined to it, in an entrenched way, and they rapidly come at the side the enactment. Jesus on the Cross brings out the outline of Roman execution, of Jesus the infant, of Jesus the child of God, of Mary and the Sacred ladies at the foot of the Cross, of styles of portray the torturous killing, of minutes of the sacrament that allude to it, and numerous more.

A mental space may be organized by a particular outline such as boxing and blander outline such as battling and a however more non-specific outline such as competition. Each of these may have its scales, image-schemas, force-dynamic designs, and imperative relations. One can moreover utilize better topology in a mental space, underneath the level of the organizing outline. The organizing outline boxing coordinate does not tell us the shoe sizes of the boxers or how numerous ounces the boxing gloves weigh or whether the boxers are wearing defensive head equip, but a better topology can incorporate the shoe measure, the weight of the gloves, and the defensive head equip.

Mental spaces are the central thought of Gilles Fauconnier's hypothesis of meaning development in talk. Whereas the real application to talk investigation of the mental spaces' hypothesis with all its specialist highlights is or maybe complex, the essential thoughts, as they will be portrayed out underneath, are very basic. Mental spaces, or cognitive spaces, are the conceptual spaces in which meaning is developed amid talk; they are the little bundles of meaning constructed as we think and after that communicate thoughts. Phonetic shapes in conjunction with foundation information (schemata) relegate data to diverse spaces and make connections between them, tying them together into a coherent entirety. The essential spaces/domains are:

I. Base: the space which speaks to current information at a specific time and serves as the beginning point for that organize of the talk.

II. Perspective: the space from which one or more mental spaces are gotten to.

III. Center: the space on which consideration is right now settled, and where meaning is being developed at that time.

The three spaces can cover in any combination-for case, all three spaces can be display in a single space, or base and center may be a single space and perspective another or they may be three unmistakably diverse spaces. Which space has to center and perspective may and regularly does alter amid talk, so that a center space may gotten to be the perspective for unused center space set up by a consequent, related improvement. Some cases will outline these concepts. A basic sentence in which all three spaces are merged in one is: San Francisco could be an excellent city.

There's as it was once reference-present-and a basic (subjective) articulation of truth.

At that point, another space is set up with the expansion of:

I went to it final year. This past time occasion space presently gets to be the center, seen from the base space. As the thoughts communicated gotten to be more complex, syntactic components such as tense, viewpoint and methodology, and space building words and expressions such as on the off chance that, really, I accept that, the copula be, and many others, build up distinctive spaces and flag the connections between them.

This handle can be seen within the more complicated arrangement:

John is late to course. He is never late. He must have missed his train…or perhaps the trains are running late once more.

This bit of talk sets up four particular spaces, comparing to the meaning communicated in each sentence, in which the basic space builders have been underlined. A streamlined investigation takes after below (see figure 1). B is the base, containing the data which serves as the present-time point of reference for the whole piece of talk: John is late to course. The trains are spoken to because it could be a crucial component for afterward meaning development in connection to the base data, in spite of the fact that at this point it is essentially portion of the interlocutors' shared

schemata. Base, center and perspective are indistinguishable at this point. Following, never in He is never late signals the creation of unused space of ageless truth X, which gets to be the center space. This space at that point shifts to perspective as He must have missed the prepare makes a modern center space Y, one of conviction, set up by the epistemic esteem of must + the perfective.

This TAM combination distances the event on which the conviction space is built from the base space not as it were in time (past), but in epistemic separate: the speaker cannot be certain of this occasion as he can be of occasions within the base space, cannot relate himself with them as he can with those of the base space.

Modals such as must are space builders, and the tense 1 (here additionally culminate viewpoint) actuates what Turner (1996) calls the grammatical of center and perspective. The other sentence, Perhaps the trains are running late once more, supports this explanation of conviction to some degree, utilizing the space builder possibly. The unused space P, one of plausibility, can as it were seen from the base, since structure from this space isn't consistent with structure from the other spaces, and so the base once more gets to be the perspective, whereas P is the center. At this point the talk seem take any number of turns, opening modern spaces, with concomitant shifts of center and perspective (Mary takes the same prepare and she isn't here either would be unused center space, with P as the unused perspective, and so on). A key component permitting associations between the spaces to weave together meaning is the Get to Guideline which states that "an expression that names or depicts a component in one mental space can be utilized to get to a partner of that component in another mental space" (Fauconnier, 1997, p.41). In this illustration John has partners a 1, a 2, and a1 in numerous spaces, which targets for mapping meaning beginning from the base space. Not all spaces will have partners for all members; the semantic requests of the space will decide the partners. In this way, the trains have no partner in X, as the semantic setting of the space blocks it, but it does have partners in Y and P because it may be a vital member for meaning creation in those spaces.

In conclusion, mental spaces play a crucial role in our cognitive processes and communication, facilitating neighborhood understanding and action. They are composed of elements and structured by patterns and cognitive models. Mental spaces are linked to both long-term schematic information, such as a walking path, and long-term specific information, such as a personal memory. These mental spaces can be activated in various ways and serve different purposes, whether it is reporting a past event, exploring counterfactual scenarios, expressing beliefs, discussing the content of a picture, or incorporating fictional scenes in literature.

One notable feature of mental space setups is the ability to connect elements across spaces without assuming that they possess identical features or properties. This allows for the construction of identity connections between different temporal or contextual versions of an individual, even in the face of observable differences. When the elements and relations within a mental space are organized in a familiar manner, it is referred to as a framed mental space, with the organization itself being called an outline. Mental spaces are constructed from multiple sources, including conceptual spaces that we already possess knowledge about and immediate experiences or information conveyed through communication.

Overall, mental spaces provide a flexible and adaptable framework for our thinking and communication, allowing us to construct and navigate complex cognitive landscapes. Understanding the mechanisms and dynamics of mental spaces contributes to our comprehension of human cognition and language processing. Further research in this area can shed light on how mental spaces are constructed, interconnected, and utilized in various cognitive tasks and linguistic contexts.

References:

1. Cutrer, M. 1994. Time and Tense in Narratives and Everyday Language.
2. Doctoral dissertation, University of Calfornia at San Diego. Dancygier, B. New York: Cambridge University Press.
3. Dinsmore, J. 1991. Partitioned Representations. Dordrecht:Kluwer.

4. Doiz-Bienzobas, A. 1995. The Preterite and the Imperfect in Spanish: Past Situation vs. Past Viewpoint. Ph. D. dissertation. University of California San Diego.

5. Fauconnier, G. 1985. Mental Spaces. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. 1994. Mental Spaces. New York: Cambridge University Press.

6. Fauconnier, G. & E. Sweetser. 1996. Spaces, Worlds, and Grammar. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.